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Abstract

Background: Mounting evidence suggests that lesbians and bisexual women may be at especially elevated risk for the harmful health effects of alcohol and tobacco use.

Methods: We report findings from the California Women’s Health Survey (1998–2000), a large, annual statewide health surveillance survey of California women that in 1998 began to include questions assessing same-gender sexual behavior.

Results: Overall, homosexually experienced women are more likely than exclusively heterosexually experienced women to currently smoke and to evidence higher levels of alcohol consumption, both in frequency and quantity. Focusing on age cohorts, the greatest sexual orientation disparity in alcohol use patterns appears clustered among women in the 26–35-year-old group. We also find that recently bisexualy active women report higher and riskier alcohol use than women who are exclusively heterosexually active. By contrast, among homosexually experienced women, those who are recently exclusively homosexually active do not show consistent evidence of at-risk patterns of alcohol consumption.

Discussion: Findings underscore the importance of considering within-group differences among homosexually experienced women in risk for tobacco and dysfunctional alcohol use.

© 2004 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Dysfunctional alcohol consumption and tobacco use are major contributors to morbidity and mortality in the United States (McGinnis and Foege, 1999; Miller and Gold, 1998; Murray et al., 1998). Accumulating evidence suggests that lesbians and bisexual women may represent a subpopulation at especially elevated risk for the harmful health effects from alcohol and tobacco use (Bradford and Ryan, 1988; Cochran et al., 2001; Diamant et al., 2000; Fielfeld et al., 1975; Gruskin et al., 2001; Hughes and Eliason, 2002; Israelstam and Lambert, 1983; McKinnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b; Nardi, 1982; Roberts and Sorenson, 1999). Although findings observed across surveys of lesbians and bisexual women have not been entirely consistent (Bloomfield, 1993; Cochran et al., 2003; Roberts and Sorenson, 1999), typically women classified as lesbian or bisexual, as compared to heterosexual women, more commonly report being a current or former tobacco smoker (Bradford and Ryan, 1988; Cochran et al., 2001; Diamant and Wold, 2003; Diamant et al., 2000; Gruskin et al., 2001), appear less likely to abstain from alcohol consumption (Cochran et al., 2000; Diamant et al., 2000; Roberts and Sorenson, 1999), evidence a pattern of alcohol use that includes more frequent consumption as well as greater amounts drunk, though typically still within a moderate range (Diamant et al., 2000; Hughes and Eliason, 2002; Roberts and Sorenson, 1999), and perhaps fail to show normative age-related declines in alcohol use (Abbott, 1998;
Bradford et al., 1994; Gruskin et al., 2001; Hughes and Wilsnack, 1997; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b). Although only a minority of lesbians and bisexual women in these surveys evidence problematic drinking behavior, the prevalences observed are generally higher than those reported by heterosexual women. This includes measures of binge and heavier drinking behavior (Cochran et al., 2000; Diamant et al., 2000; Gruskin et al., 2001), perhaps alcohol dependency syndrome (Cochran et al., 2000, 2003) and self-labeling as having problems with alcohol consumption (Bradford and Ryan, 1988; Cochran et al., 2001; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b).

Despite the evidence for greater risk among lesbians and bisexual women, the reasons for the observed differences are not well understood. One perspective hypothesizes that psychosocial factors, such as tolerant gay community norms, foster higher rates of substance use and abuse among lesbians and bisexual women than those seen among heterosexual women (Bloomfield, 1993; Bux Jr, 1996; Fifield et al., 1975; Hughes and Eliaison, 2002; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b). Another emphasizesthat social stigmatization of homosexuality generates stress that may then contribute to higher rates of substance use (Bus Jr., 1996; Cochran, 2001; Hughes and Eliaison, 2002; Mayes and Cochran, 2001; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b; Meyer, 2003). A third highlights the structural differences in women’s lives associated with minority sexual orientation including the importance of the gay bar as a focus of socialization, the absence of heterosexual marriage, and alternative family structures that are less likely to include parenting responsibilities but more likely to involve full-time employment, which is a known risk factor for higher alcohol consumption among women (Alm et al., 2000; Cochran, 2001; Cochran et al., 2000; Fifield et al., 1975; Hughes and Wilsnack, 1997; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b; Rothblum and Factor, 2001). In addition, some recent work suggests that the highest risk for substance use may be somewhat concentrated among women who can be labeled by either identity or sexual behavior patterns as being bisexual (Diamant et al., 2000; Russell et al., 2002; Scheer et al., 2002).

Many of these findings are tentative, as studies of substance use among lesbian and bisexual women have been hampered by several methodological difficulties (Cochran, 2001). This is a relatively hidden and geographically dispersed population. Convenience-based samples drawing women from visible gay community sites are vulnerable to a variety of biases that may seriously affect both estimates of substance use and their correlates. As an example, early studies of alcohol and drug use recruited participants from gay bars using snowball sampling because gay bars were the primary place where researchers could find these women. Quite recently, population-based and other systematically sampled studies (Bloomfield, 1993; Cochran et al., 2000, 2003; Diamant and Wold, 2003; Diamant et al., 2000; Gruskin et al., 2001; Nawyn et al., 2000; Scheer et al., 2002) have appeared where respondent selection is not dependent on sexual orientation. This sidesteps some of the obvious biases associated with convenience sampling. Typically in these latter studies, researchers classify women for sexual orientation either on the basis of the genders of their sexual partners or, in rare instances, self-identification as lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual. Across these studies, estimates of alcohol use are lower, though they often still find higher levels of at-risk drinking among lesbians and bisexual women than those seen among heterosexual women. The small number of sexual minority women identified in these samples, however, has hampered exploration of factors within this population, such as variations in age or sexual orientation, that might be predictive of substance use. Understanding the influence of these factors could be extremely useful in the development of appropriately targeted interventions.

For the current study, we draw upon data from several years of the California Women’s Health Survey (CWHS) (California Department of Health Services, 2003), a large, annual statewide health surveillance survey of California women that in 1998 began to include questions assessing same-gender sexual behavior. Using self-reported genders of sexual partners as a behavioral proxy for lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual identity, we examine alcohol and tobacco use patterns reported by sexually experienced adult women who vary in their sexual orientation, including 350 women who report histories of sex with women. In doing so, we seek to identify factors associated with substance use among behaviourally defined sexual minority women. While our reliance on same-gender sexual behavior as proxy for sexual orientation identity shares the common difficulty of some of the recently published work (Cochran et al., 2000; Scheer et al., 2002), the large sample size of the CWHS and the measurement of both lifetime and recent sexual behavior in 2 of the 3 years of the survey permit exploration of both demographic and sexual behavior-related modifiers of substance use histories in a hidden population at elevated risk for substance abuse and its consequences.

2. Methods

2.1. Source of the data

We use information available from 3 years (1998–2000) of the California Women’s Health Survey. The CWHS is a monthly survey that employs random digit dial (RDD) techniques to interview approximately 4000 adult women in California annually about their health-related behaviors and attitudes. Beginning in 1998, questions were included that assessed the genders of women’s sexual partners. Upper-bound estimates of CWHS response rates for successfully screened households (the proportion of eligible households contacted from which a completed interview is obtained) varied across surveys from a low of 70% in 1998 to a high of 81% in 1999. A more conservative estimate of response rates (the proportion of completed interviews from among both eligible households and an estimate of eligible households among
these not fully ascertained) also varied from 49% in 1998 to 38% in 2000. These rates are consistent with RDD health surveys conducted in California (California Health Interview Survey, 2003). The public dataset, including sample weights, is available by request from the California State Department of Mental Health who oversees the survey (California Department of Health Services, 2003).

2.2. Subjects and sexual orientation classification

Between 1998 and 2000, slightly more than 12,000 women were interviewed in the CWHS. In 1998, women \( n = 4006 \) were asked the genders of their sexual partners in the past 5 years. Seventy-four women reported at least one female sexual partner and 3222 reported only male sexual partners. In both the 1999 and 2000 surveys, ascertainment of sexual partner genders was altered to assess both adult lifetime experiences (since age 18 years) and partners in the 12 months prior to interview. In 1999, of the 4163 women interviewed, 136 reported at least one lifetime female sexual partner (of these, 60 reported a female partner in the past year) and 3870 reported only male sexual partners. In 2000, of the 4012 women interviewed, 157 reported at least one lifetime sexual partner (of these, 60 reported a female partner in the past year) and 3762 reported only male sexual partners. In 2000, of the 4012 women interviewed, 140 reported at least one female lifetime sexual partner (of these, 60 reported a female sexual partner in the past year) while 3762 reported only male sexual partners. Women who were not sexually active or who failed to provide answers to sexual partner questions were dropped from further consideration (for 1998, \( n = 710 \); for 1999, \( n = 157 \), and for 2000, \( n = 110 \) due to our inability to classify for their sexual histories.

2.3. Study measures

2.3.1. Alcohol use

In the 1998–2000 surveys, women were initially asked if they had consumed alcohol in the month prior to interview. All those who had were then asked the number of days in the past month that they drank any alcoholic beverage, the number of drinks, on average, they consumed on those drinking days, and the number of times they had consumed five or more drinks on any one occasion in the past month. From these responses, we coded six measures of alcohol use: any alcohol consumption in the past month, consumption averaging at least once a week, consumption averaging five or more days per week, the mean number of drinks consumed per drinking day, and the number of binge drinking days, defined as consuming five or more drinks in one drinking occasion. We also classified women as binge drinkers if they reported binge drinking at least once but no more than four times in the past month, and classify them as heavy drinkers if they reported binge drinking on five or more occasions in the past month.

Respondents in 1999 and 2000 waves of the CWHS were also asked two additional alcohol-related questions. From these, we created two variables. One indexed the number of times in the past year a woman reported being drunk. From this we created a dichotomous variable indicating being drunk six or more times in the past year versus less than that frequency. The second assessed the number of drinks that the respondent needed to consume to feel drunk. Responses exceeding 12 drinks were top-coded at 12 due to their rarity.

2.3.2. Tobacco use

The surveys also asked women how frequently they currently smoked cigarettes (“not at all”, “some of the time”, or “every day”). From this, women were coded as current smokers if they reported any current cigarette use.

2.3.3. Demographics

Other items in the survey assessed women’s age, educational achievement, race/ethnic background, married/unmarried or cohabiting couple relationship status, employment status, and the presence of children under age 18 years in the home.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using Stata version 7.0 (StataCorp, 2001), with weighting to adjust for selection probability and post-stratification to the match the age and race distribution of women in the 1990 California population. Missing demographic and substance use data were imputed using multiple imputation techniques (Rubin, 1987). Analyses of demographic correlates predicting sexual partner histories were conducted using both logistic (any female sexual partners reported versus only male sexual partners indicated) and multinomial (male partners only versus no sexual partners, both male and female sexual partners, and only female sexual partners) methods depending on the comparison of interest. Reported results adjust for all demographic factors considered simultaneously.

Two additional sets of analyses are reported. One uses the 1998–2000 sample of sexually experienced respondents to compare women reporting any female sexual partners to those reporting only male sexual partners. We also further divide the sample into four age categories (18–25, 26–35, 36–45, and 46 years or older) to conduct age-stratified analyses. Given the recency of measuring markers of sexual orientation in population-based surveys (Cochran, 2001), there is only sparse data available on the relationship between patterns of partner selection on the basis of gender over the two time periods measured in the 1998–2000 CWHS surveys. Unpublished data from the National Health and Social Life Survey (Laumann et al., 1992) indicate that of the 52 women in that survey who reported sex with other women since age 18 (out of 1921 women interviewed), half reported sex with women within the 5 years prior to interview and 23 reported sex only with men. Thus, analyses of the CWHS that combine respondents from the years 1998–2000 can be expected to sample somewhat differently from the population of women who are homosexually experienced. Results obtained using only the 1999–2000 surveys demonstrated the same pattern.
of relative differences, though due to the smaller sample size, some of these differences did not achieve statistical significance. We, therefore, discuss only the results for the samples combining respondents from 1998–2000.

The other set of analyses considers only women surveyed in the 1999–2000 waves in order to examine possible associations of bisexuality with substance use. Here we make two sets of comparisons. The first contrasts women reporting only male sexual partners during their lifetimes (the referent group) with homosexually experienced women who report only female, only male, both male and female, or no sexual partners in the year prior to interview. The second explores differences among homosexually experienced women who vary in their recent patterns of sexual partners. To do these latter comparisons, we contrast estimates for homosexually experienced but currently heterosexual active women (the referent group) to homosexually experienced women who were currently sexually active only with women, with both men and women, or not sexually active.

Across all analyses, we report the weighted prevalence of tobacco and alcohol use. Linear and logistic regression models predicting the alcohol and tobacco use outcomes are adjusted for the effects of age, race/ethnic group, education, relationship status, employment status, and the presence of children under 18 years in the home, all of which may confound associations between substance use and sexual orientation (Cochran, 2001). All reported odds ratios (OR) and regression estimates (β) are adjusted for this possible confounding. Significance tests are judged at the criterion of \( P < 0.05 \). Reported confidence intervals (CI) are evaluated at the 95% confidence level.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of sexually experienced women

Approximately 3.8% (CI: 3.4–4.1%) of the women surveyed reported at least one female partner since age 18 years in the past 5 years (using 1998 data) or in their lifetime (using 1999–2000 data). More precisely, using only the 1998 sample where women reported on sexual partners in the prior 5 years, 2.6% (CI: 2.1–3.2%) of women indicated at least one female sexual partner. In contrast, using the 1999 and 2000 data and reflecting the longer time frame, 4.2% (CI: 3.8–4.7%) of women reported at least one female sexual partner in adulthood. Across all three survey years, these homosexually experienced women, as compared to exclusively heterosexual experienced women, were significantly younger, more likely non-Hispanic white, and possessed higher levels of education when the effects of all demographic predictors were considered simultaneously (see Table 1). They were also less likely to be married or in an unmarried partnership or to have children under age 18 years living in their households. In analyses not shown, these differences held whether the study sample included all 3 years of CWHS surveys or only the latter 2 years when all women were reporting on lifetime sexual partner patterns.

Among homosexually experienced women in the 1999–2000 surveys, 33.5% (unweighted \( n = 77 \); CI: 27.9–39.1%) reported only female sexual partners in the past year, 16.6% (unweighted \( n = 43 \); CI: 12.2–21.1%) both male and female sexual partners, 11.6% (unweighted \( n = 38 \); CI: 7.8–15.4%) no sexual partners, and 38.3% (unweighted \( n = 118 \); CI: 32.5–44.0%) only male sexual partners. There were a few demographic differences among these four groups of women, when all demographic factors were considered simultaneously. Compared to homosexually experienced women with recent histories of exclusively male sexual partners, homosexually experienced women who reported recent bisexuality were less likely to be non-Hispanic white (\( P < 0.05 \)). Women with recent histories of exclusive homosexuality were less likely to report children in their households than homosexually experienced women who had only male sexual partners in the past year (\( P < 0.01 \)). Finally, homosexually experienced women with no recent sexual partners, in comparison to similar homosexually experienced women who were currently sexually active with men, were somewhat older (\( P < 0.01 \)), less likely to be married or cohabiting (\( P < 0.01 \)), and less likely to live with children under age 18 years (\( P < 0.05 \)).

3.2. Patterns of substance use

In the total sample, homosexually experienced women were more likely than exclusively heterosexual experienced women to report being current tobacco smokers (adjusted OR = 1.84; CI: 1.47–2.31) (see Table 2). Further, while these women appeared to be no more likely to use alcohol in a given month than women indicating only male partners, they were more likely to consume alcohol at least weekly (adjusted OR = 1.29; CI: 1.04–1.60) and to drink on more days per month (adjusted \( β = 0.17 \); S.E. = 0.07), having more binge drinking days per month (adjusted \( β = 0.17 \); S.E. = 0.07), and were more likely to report binge drinking behavior (adjusted OR = 1.41; CI: 1.06–1.89).

3.3. Age-related patterns of substance use

Within each of the four age groups considered, homosexually experienced California women, in comparison to exclusively heterosexual active women, showed higher prevalence of tobacco use (see Table 3). In particular, age-specific comparisons achieved significant differences in three of the four age groups evaluated: 18–25-year olds (adjusted OR = 1.77; CI: 1.05–3.01), 26–35-year olds (adjusted OR = 1.72; CI:
Table 1
Demographic characteristics of sexually experienced California women, aged 18 years and older, in the California Women’s Health Survey (1998–2000) by self-reported genders of sexual partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years (%)</th>
<th>Any female sexual partners (n = 350)</th>
<th>Only male sexual partners (n = 10,854)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18–25</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 or older</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree or more</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic/racial background (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabiting (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full-time (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children (0–18 years in home (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Weighted prevalences shown. P-values obtained from a multivariate logistic regression analysis regressing sexual orientation on age, education, ethnic/racial background, marital/cohabiting status, employment status, and children in the home, coded as categorical variables.

Sample from 1998 includes women who reported their sexual partners in the 5 years prior to interview; samples from 1999–2000 include all women reporting any lifetime sexual partners.

Table 2
Prevalence of self-reported tobacco and alcohol use among sexually experienced California women, aged 18 years and older, by genders of their sexual partners, 1998–2000 California Women’s Health Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-reported substance use</th>
<th>Any female sexual partners (n = 350)</th>
<th>Male sexual partners only (n = 10,854)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current tobacco smoker (%)</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use in past month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumed alcohol at least once (%)</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drank once or more per week (%)</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drunk average of 5–7 days per week (%)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of drinking days, x (S.D.)</td>
<td>4.6 (6.6)</td>
<td>3.2 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of drinks per drinking day, x (S.D.)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of binge drinking days, x (S.D.)</td>
<td>0.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.3 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaged in binge drinking (%)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaged in heavy drinking (%)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Weighted prevalences and means shown. Statistical comparisons between the two groups adjusted for the effects of age, education level, race/ethnic background, employment status, relationship status, and the presence of children under 18 years of age in the home.

Sample from 1998 includes women who reported their sexual partners in the 5 years prior to interview; samples from 1999–2000 include all women reporting any lifetime sexual partners.

1,11–2.67), and 36–45-year olds (adjusted OR = 1.76; CI: 1.13–2.72).

Indicators of alcohol use suggested a somewhat more mixed picture for age-specific differences between homosexually and exclusively heterosexually experienced women (see Table 3). The greatest disparity in alcohol use patterns was clustered among women in the 26–35-year-old group. Among women in this age group, those who were homosexually experienced, as compared to exclusively heterosexually experienced women, were significantly more likely to report consuming alcohol frequently (five to seven times per week on average, adjusted OR = 2.32; CI: 1.09–4.92), drinking on more days per month (adjusted β = 1.78; S.E. = 0.43), consuming more drinks per drinking day (adjusted β = 0.43; S.E. = 0.14), having more binge drinking days per month (adjusted β = 0.54; S.E. = 0.14), and engaging in a pattern of heavy drinking (adjusted OR = 4.33; CI: 1.94–9.67). Other age-specific comparisons did not reveal consistently higher levels of alcohol use among homosexually experienced as compared to exclusively heterosexually experienced women with two exceptions: homosexually experienced women age 46 years and older were significantly more likely to report drinking once or more per week (adjusted OR = 1.63; CI: 1.02–2.62) and to report heavy drinking (adjusted OR: 7.11;
3.4. Associations of substance use with recent sexual partner patterns

More extensive questions about sexual partner histories in the 1999–2000 CWHS survey waves permitted further examination of possible differences in substance use patterns among homosexually experienced women who varied in their recent patterns of sexual behavior. Restricting analyses to these 2 years, we classified women into one of five groups: (1) those reporting exclusively male sexual partners during their lifetime; and homosexually experienced women who reported that in the past 12 months they had (2) only female sexual partners, (3) both female and male sexual partners, (4) no sexual partners, or (5) only male sexual partners. Comparing patterns of substance use among the four groups of homosexually experienced women individu- ally to exclusively heterosexual experienced women sug- gests a somewhat more complicated picture than the analyses reported above. While as noted earlier, homosexually experienced women overall evidenced higher prevalence of tobacco use and, on some indicators, of alcohol use, in these later comparisons recent bisexuality emerges as a strong corre- late of alcohol use patterns among homosexually experienced women. Specifically, homosexually experienced women who reported a recent history of bisexuality, as compared to exclusively heterosexual experienced women, were signifi- cantly more likely to report consuming alcohol in the past month (adjusted OR = 2.36; CI: 1.25–4.43), doing so at least weekly (adjusted OR = 1.83; CI: 1.02–3.29), drinking more days per month (adjusted β = 0.67; S.E. = 0.77), con- suming more drinks per drinking day (adjusted β = 0.64; S.E. = 0.17), having more binge drinking days per month (adjusted β = 0.44; S.E. = 0.20), and being drunk six or more times in the past year (adjusted OR = 3.87; CI: 2.00–7.50). These women were also more likely to meet criteria for be- ing a binge drinker (adjusted OR = 4.45; CI: 2.42–8.17). Homosexually experienced, but currently heterosexual ac- tive women were more likely to report being current tobacco smokers (adjusted OR = 1.54; CI: 1.02–2.34) and being drunk six or more times in the past year (adjusted OR = 2.41; CI: 1.48–3.92) in comparison to exclusively heterosexual ex- 4979 80

4.5. Characteristics of recent sexual partner patterns

5.0.05

Note: Weighted prevalences and means shown. Statistical significance refers to comparisons between the two groups within the indicated age strata, adjusted for the effects of education level, race/ethnic background, employment status, relationship status, and the presence of children under 18 years of age in the home.

* Sample from 1998 includes women who reported their sexual partners in the 5 years prior to interview; samples from 1999–2000 include all women reporting any lifetime sexual partners.

4 Defined as consuming five or more drinks in any drinking occasion.

5 Defined as one to four binge drinking events in past month, heavy drinkers excluded from comparison.

6 Defined as five or more binge drinking events in past month.

7 P < 0.05.

6.0.04

CI: 1.97–25.68), as compared to exclusively heterosexual experience, but similarly-aged women.

3.4. Associations of substance use with recent sexual partner patterns

More extensive questions about sexual partner histories in the 1999–2000 CWHS survey waves permitted further examination of possible differences in substance use patterns among homosexually experienced women who varied in their recent patterns of sexual behavior. Restricting analyses to these 2 years, we classified women into one of five groups: (1) those reporting exclusively male sexual partners during their lifetime; and homosexually experienced women who reported that in the past 12 months they had (2) only female sexual partners, (3) both female and male sexual partners, (4) no sexual partners, or (5) only male sexual partners. Comparing patterns of substance use among the four groups of homosexually experienced women individually to exclusively heterosexual experienced women suggests a somewhat more complicated picture than the analyses reported above. While as noted earlier, homosexually experienced women overall evidenced higher prevalence of tobacco use and, on some indicators, of alcohol use, in these later comparisons recent bisexuality emerges as a strong correlate of alcohol use patterns among homosexually experienced women. Specifically, homosexually experienced women who reported a recent history of bisexuality, as compared to exclusively heterosexual experienced women, were significantly more likely to report consuming alcohol in the past month (adjusted OR = 2.36; CI: 1.25–4.43), doing so at least weekly (adjusted OR = 1.83; CI: 1.02–3.29), drinking more days per month (adjusted β = 0.67; S.E. = 0.77), consuming more drinks per drinking day (adjusted β = 0.64; S.E. = 0.17), having more binge drinking days per month (adjusted β = 0.44; S.E. = 0.20), and being drunk six or more times in the past year (adjusted OR = 3.87; CI: 2.00–7.50). These women were also more likely to meet criteria for being a binge drinker (adjusted OR = 4.45; CI: 2.42–8.17). Homosexually experienced, but currently heterosexual active women were more likely to report being current tobacco smokers (adjusted OR = 1.54; CI: 1.02–2.34) and being drunk six or more times in the past year (adjusted OR = 2.41; CI: 1.48–3.92) in comparison to exclusively heterosexual experienced women. In contrast, although tobacco and alcohol use patterns among homosexually experienced women with only recent female sexual partners or no sexual partners were somewhat indicative of heavier use than that seen among exclusively heterosexual experienced women, these differences did not achieve statistical significance after adjusting for demographic confounding with one exception: homosexually experienced women who were recently sexually active only with women were significantly more likely to have been drunk six or more times in the past year (adjusted OR = 2.16; CI: 1.23–3.80).

Restricting focus to comparisons among groups of homosexually experienced women, we found no strong evidence to suggest that self-reported current tobacco smoking status was associated with differences in recent sexual partner patterns among women. However, we did observe that patterns of recent alcohol use appeared to vary somewhat in associa-
experienced women. Specifically, homosexually experienced women who were recently bisexually active, as compared to those who were recently exclusively heterosexually active, were more likely to report consuming alcohol in the past month (adjusted OR = 2.75; CI: 1.32–5.71), drank more drinks per drinking day (adjusted β = 0.62; S.E. = 0.20), and evidenced a pattern of drinking indicative of being a binge drinker (adjusted OR = 2.92; CI: 1.37–6.26). Homosexually experienced women who had only recent female sexual partners were significantly less likely than homosexually experienced women who were recently exclusively heterosexual active to be binge drinkers (adjusted OR = 0.35; CI: 0.14–0.89). Finally, homosexually experienced women with no recent sexual partners were significantly less likely to have been drunk six or more times in the past year in comparison to homosexually experienced, but currently heterosexually active women (adjusted OR = 0.13; CI: 0.02–0.83).

4. Discussion

Homosexually experienced women are at increased risk for both tobacco use and higher rates of alcohol use in comparison to heterosexual women. Similar to other studies that used convenience-based sampling from the visible lesbian community (Braithwaite and Ryan, 1988; Cochran et al., 2001; Fifield et al., 1975; McKirnan and Peterson, 1989a, 1989b; Roberts and Sorensen, 1999; Skinner, 1994; Skinner and Otis, 1996) or systematic health surveys of women in the general population (Bloomfield, 1993; Cochran et al., 2000; Diamant and Wold, 2003; Diamant et al., 2000; Gruskin et al., 2001; McCabe et al., 2003; Nawyn et al., 2000; Russell et al., 2002; Scheer et al., 2002), we observed that homosexually experienced women interviewed in the CWHS were more likely to report smoking tobacco, drinking alcohol, and using alcohol in a somewhat more dysfunctional manner than exclusively heterosexual experienced women. But at the same time, our findings suggest that both age and patterns of sexual partner gender choice are important factors to consider in understanding the differences in substance use behavior among women who may vary in their same-sex sexual behavior patterns. There may also be, as yet unidentified age-cohort effects, similar to those found recently among gay and bisexual men (Crosby et al., 1998).

For some time, it has been thought that lesbians and bisexual women do not show normative age-related declines in their alcohol use, though the actual evidence for this perspective is sparse (Hughes and Wilsnack, 1997). Gruskin et al. (2001), using a much smaller sample than the California Women’s Health Survey, was able to show tentatively that lesbian and bisexual women between 20 and 34 years of age were at greater risk for more frequent and heavy alcohol use when compared to heterosexual women, but that this difference between the two groups attenuated among older women. A lack of statistical power prevented closer examination of age-related differences in those two groups of women.
but homosexually experienced persons. These individuals, he argues, may possess a general pattern of impulsiveness in a variety of domains, including sexual behavior that is linked to psychopathology. The low base rate of minority sexual orientation in general population-based surveys magnifies the effects of even low levels of such misclassification (Cochran, 2001). Our findings are somewhat consistent with this premise. However, irrespective of recent sexual behavior patterns, we also observed a generally higher prevalence of tobacco use and moderate alcohol use among homosexually experienced women as compared to exclusively heterosexually experienced women. Thus, our findings support both the perspective that some of the higher prevalences of dysfunctional alcohol use may be attributable to the problem of misclassification, but that this occurs within a context of high moderate use overall.

As with other general population-based surveys that rely on sexual behavior as a proxy for sexual orientation, our findings should be interpreted within the context of several limitations. The CWHS did not directly ask sexual orientation identity. Evidence suggests that common indicators of sexual orientation, such as sexual attraction, behavior, fantasies, self-identification, and emotional, social, and lifestyle preferences are closely correlated in the general population (Cochran, 2001). For example, unpublished data from Laumann et al.’s survey of sexual behavior in the United States (Laumann et al., 1992) strongly indicates that women’s reports of the genders of their sexual partners is quite predictive of sexual orientation identity when heterosexuality is considered. However, among the restricted and small group of women indicating any lifetime same-gender sexual contact, many do not currently label themselves as lesbian or bisexual. Thus, lifetime evidence of same gender partners is an imprecise measure of current lesbian or bisexual identity. In particular, bisexuality as a self-identified identity in Laumann et al.’s sample of 1749 women was very rare (n = 9) and 5 of the 7 women bisexualy active in the year prior to interview self-identified as heterosexual, not bisexual. From Laumann et al.’s findings (Laumann et al., 1992), we can assume that labeling sexually experienced women as lesbian, bisexual, or even heterosexual on the basis of their sexual behavior alone clearly introduces some misclassification bias, the effects of which are difficult to anticipate (Cochran, 2001).

A second methodological issue is statistical power and our attempts to improve it. Many of the recent population-based and systematically sampled studies examining substance use among women of differing sexual orientations lacked statistical power to examine effect modifiers, such as age, because the numbers of homosexually classified women were very low (Cochran, 2001). This limited studies to simple tests of main effects of sexual orientation. Some of our analyses, too, may have suffered from low power to detect statistically significant differences despite our larger sample of homosexually experienced women. We also were unable to test for interactions among variables, such as age and race differences considered simultaneously. However, in the compari-
Jackson et al., 2003). In a recent study following up participants in the St. Louis Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study 16 years later, Jackson et al. (2003) were able to determine a bi-directional prospective association between alcohol and tobacco use disorders. Unfortunately, the nature of our study did not permit investigation of these issues more fully. But knowledge of co-occurrence of dysfunctional tobacco and alcohol use patterns among homosexually experienced women might prove valuable in the planning of interventions and treatment for this population. Intervening to reduce use of one substance may have an impact on the other, though studies indicate that this is true primarily for alcohol, not smoking, interventions. Results of our study, like that of others (Jackson et al., 2003), indicate that increased risk for substance use is not necessarily drug-specific. This suggests the need for further research about stage theories in substance abuse and how this might be integral in prevention and treatment efforts of substance use/abuse among lesbians and bisexual women.
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